| **Case** | **Age of receiving party** | **Gender of receiving party** | **Life expectancy** | **Annual income need** | **Capital sum** | **Surplus/shortfall (cautious)** | **Projected depletion/ deficit age** | **Age of receiving party today** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Duxbury v Duxbury*[1990] 2 All ER 77 | 45 | Female | 80 | £28,000 | £540,000 | Minus£202,881 | 74 | 75 |
| *M v L*[2003] EWHC 328 (Fam)  | 57 | Female | 83 | £12,000 | £150,000 | Minus£27,565 | 73 | 76 |
| *GW v RW*[2003] EWHC 611 (Fam) | 43 | Female | 83 | £71,500 | £1.676m | Minus£659,004 | 68 | 62 |
| *W v W*[2003] EWHC 2254 (Fam)  | 61 | Female | 83 | £34,000 | £560,000 | Minus£122,925 | 82 | 80 |
| *Pearce v Pearce*[2003] EWCA Civ 1054  | 66 | Female | 84 | £45,698 | £620,000 | Minus£126,417 | 84 | 85 |
| *M v M*[2004] EWHC 688 (Fam)  | 42 | Female | 82 | £125,000 | £3.25m | Minus£1,710,964 | 68 | 60 |
| *P v P*[2004] EWHC 1364 (Fam)  | 46 | Female | 82 | £10,000 | £176,000 | Minus£25,673 | 64 | 64 |
| *FS v JS (aka S v S)*[2006] EWHC 2793 (Fam) | 41 | Female | 82 | £50,000 | £700,000 | Minus£934,086 | 56 | 57 |
| *Lauder v Lauder*[2007] EWHC 1227 (Fam)  | 70 | Female | 86 | £60,000 | £725,000 | Minus£159,543 | 85 | 85 |
| *P v P*[2007] EWHC 2877 (Fam)  | 52 | Female | 83 | £120,000–£150,000 | £3.5m | Minus£1,323,811 | 76 | 67 |
| *CR v CR*[2007] EWHC 3334 (Fam) | 51 | Female | 83 | £140,000–£170,000 | £5m | Minus£747,017 | 82 | 66 |
| *McCartney v McCartney*[2008] EWHC 401 (Fam) | 40 | Female | 82 | £600,000 | £14m | Minus £10,261,715 | 64 | 54 |
| *NG v KR (first instance Radmacher v Granatino)*[2008] EWHC 1532 (Fam)  | 37 | Male | 79 | £100,000 | £2.335m | Minus£1,764,262 | 61 | 51 |
| *SR v CR*[2008] EWHC 2329 (Fam) | ‘mid-forties’ | Female | 83 | £133,000 | £3.25m | Minus£1,709,166 | 70 | 59 |
| *B v B*[2009] EWHC 3422 (Fam)  | 37 | Female | 85 | £135,000 | £2.25m  | Minus£2,832,813 | 54 | 50 |
| *R v R*[2009] EWHC 1267 (Fam) | 53 | Female | 83 | £135,000 | £3m | Minus£1,175,088 | 77 | 66 |
| *Vaughan v Vaughan*[2010] EWCA Civ 349 | 66 | Female | 87 | £14,000 | £215,000 | Plus£17,856 | n/a | 78 |
| *N v N*[2010] EWHC 717 (Fam)  | 54 | Female | 84 | £110,000–£125,000 | £2.4m | Minus£1,467,038 | 72 | 66 |
| *Gallagher v Lawrence (Rev 1)*[2011] EWHC 1375 (Fam) | 54 | Male | 81 | £28,000 | £500,000 | Minus£202,745 | 78 | 65 |
| *S v AG*[2011] EWHC 2637 (Fam) | 55 at time (draw fund at age 65) | Male | 81 | £11,250 | £82,000 | Plus£36,035 | n/a | 66 |
| **Case** | **Age of receiving party** | **Gender of receiving party** | **Life expectancy** | **Annual income need** | **Capital sum** | **Surplus/shortfall (cautious)** | **Projected depletion/ deficit age** | **Age of receiving party today** |
| *Z v Z*[2011] EWHC 2878 (Fam) | 50 | Male | 80 | £100,000 | £2,283,126 | Minus£373,313 | 80 | 61 |
| *B v B*[2012] EWHC 314 (Fam) | 40 | Female | 80 | £44,400 | £1.04m | Minus£625,049 | 64 | 50 |
| *Kremen v Agrest*[2012] EWHC 45 (Fam) | 44 | Female | 83 | £200,000 | £5.481m | Minus£2,319,193 | 71 | 54 |
| *DR v GR & Ors*[2013] EWHC 1196 (Fam) | 68 | Female | 86 | £54,428 | £725,000 | Minus£13,429 | 86 | 77 |
| *SA v PA*[2014] EWHC 392 (Fam) | 54 | Female | 84 | £65,000 | £1.281m | Minus£124,329 | 84 | 56 |
| *H v H*[2014] EWHC 760 (Fam) | 55 | Female | 84 | £25,000 | £400,000 | Minus£173,877 | 76 | 63 |
| *S v S*[2014] EWHC 4732 | 47 | Female | 84 | £100,000 | £2.5m | Minus£514,333 | 76 | 55 |
| *MCJ v MAJ*[2016] EWHC 1672 (Fam) | ‘Nearly 51’ | Female | 84 | £90,000 | £1.289m | Minus£1,316,306 | 66 | 57 |
| *BD v FD (No 2)*[2016] EWHC 594 (Fam)  | 41 | Female | 87 | £175,000 | £5m | Minus£2,180,257 | 71 | 47 |
| *X v X*[2016] EWHC 1995 (Fam) | 45 | Female  | 83 | £150,000 | £3.8m | Minus£1,242,589 | 75 | 51 |
| *AAZ v BBZ & Ors*[2016] EWFC 3234 (Fam) | 44 | Female | 87 | £5,359,354 | £157,101,606 | Minus£53,093,023 | 74 | 50 |
| *R v B & Ors*[2017] EWFC 33 | 56 | Male | 82 | £50,000 | £839,000 | Minus£431,592 | 75 | 61 |
| *HC v FW*[2017] EWHC 3162 (Fam) | 64 | Female | 85 | £298,648 | £3,474,607 | Minus£2,917,891 | 76 | 69 |
| *WG v HG*[2018] EWFC 84 | 50 | Female | 87 | £90,000 | £2m | Minus£899,057 | 74 | 54 |
| *W v W*[2018] EWFC B99 | 49 | Female | 87 | £100,000 | £2.188m | Minus£1,106,527 | 73 | 53 |
| *C v C*[2018] EWHC 3186 (Fam) | Mid-40s | Female | 84 | £200,000 | £5m | Minus£2,581,984  | 71 | 49 |
|  *AF v SF*[2019] EWHC 1224 (Fam) | 49 | Female | 84 | £175,000 | £4.1m | Minus£1,500,093 | 76 | 52 |
| *RC v JC*[2020] EWHC 466 (Fam) | 45 | Female | 83 | £100,000 | £2.35m | Minus£1,301,131 | 69 | 47 |
| *AG v VD*[2021] EWFC 9  | 51 | Female | 86 | £100,000 | £2.060m | Minus£1,086,056 | 73 | 52 |
| *E v L*[2021] EWFC 60 | 61 | Female | 87 | £90,047 | £1.540m | Minus£496,716 | 81 | 62 |
| **Case** | **Age of receiving party** | **Gender of receiving party** | **Life expectancy** | **Annual income need** | **Capital sum** | **Surplus/shortfall (cautious)** | **Projected depletion/ deficit age** | **Age of receiving party today** |
| *A v M*[2021] EWFC 89 | 58 | Female | 87 | £325,000 | £6,483,623 | Minus£2,186,861 | 80 | 59 |
| *WC v HC*[2022] 4 WLR 65 | 52 | Female  | 86 | £150,000 | £3.319m  | Minus£1,440,195 | 75 | 52 |
| *Pierburg v Pierburg*[2022] EWHC 701 | 72 | Female | 88 | £400,000 | £4.750m | Minus£1,736,995 | 84 | 72 |
| *YC v ZC*[2022] EWFC 137 | 59 | Female  | 87 | £30,000 | £421,000 | Minus£186,672 | 77 | 59 |
| *TM v KM*[2022] EWFC 155  | 50 | Female | 87 | £175,000 | £4m | Minus£1,801,070 | 74 | 50 |